

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,865	MIYABAYASHI, TOSHIYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Clifford Mass.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6,7,13,14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in ~~the Notice of Allowability.~~ Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Callie Shosho

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Mass.

The amendment to each of claims 6 and 7 was made to make clear that the claims refer to the anionic group on the surface of the pigment and not the anionic group of the polymerizable surface active agent. Support for such amendment is found on page 38, line 19-page 39, line 11, page 43, first full paragraph, and page 44, first full paragraph of the present specification.

The amendment to each of claims 13 and 14 was made to make clear that each claim requires cationically polymerizable surface active agent and anionically polymerizable surface active agent and that the hydrophilic monomer is optional. It is noted that such amendment is consistent with applicants' amendments to each of claims 1, 2, 11, and 12.

The further amendment to claim 6 is made to correct a minor grammatical error.